A. Antonova, Cand. Eng. Sci. (National Aviation University, Ukraine)

## Gamma distributed time-delayed model of two linearly coupled Goodwin oscillators

We have obtained the new system of differential equations for the interaction of two linearly coupled Goodwin's oscillators with gamma distributed time-delay kernels.

An open economy exponential delay time business cycle Goodwin's model for two regions can be written as a following system of two second order differential equations [1]

$$\varepsilon_{1}\theta_{1}\frac{d^{2}y_{1}(t)}{dt^{2}} + (\varepsilon_{1} + s_{1}\theta)\frac{dy_{1}(t)}{dt} + s_{1}y_{1}(t) - A_{1}(t) = F_{1}(t),$$

$$\varepsilon_{2}\theta_{2}\frac{d^{2}y_{2}(t)}{dt^{2}} + (\varepsilon_{2} + s_{2}\theta)\frac{dy_{2}(t)}{dt} + s_{2}y_{2} - A_{2}(t) = F_{2}(t),$$
(1)

where

$$F_{1}(t) = \varphi_{1}\left(\frac{dy_{1}(t)}{dt}\right) + m_{1}y_{1}(t) - m_{2}y_{2}(t),$$
  

$$F_{2}(t) = \varphi_{2}\left(\frac{dy_{2}(t)}{dt}\right) - m_{1}y_{1}(t) + m_{2}y_{2}(t).$$

Here *t* denote the time, subscripts i = 1, 2 denote the economic regions (or the countries),  $y_i$  - regional income,  $s_i$  - marginal propensity to save,  $e_i$  - adjustment time,  $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \theta$  - delay time,  $m_i$  - marginal propensity to import,  $A_i$  - regional autonomous investment,  $\varphi_i(x)$  - nonlinear accelerator,

$$\varphi_i(0) = 0, \ \varphi'_i(x) \ge 0, \ \varphi'_i(0) = r_i > 0,$$

 $r_i$  - acceleration coefficient,  $\varphi_{ci}$  and  $\varphi_{fi}$  - the Hicksian 'ceiling' and 'floor'

$$\lim_{x \to -\infty} \varphi_i(x) = \varphi_{fi}, \quad \lim_{x \to +\infty} \varphi_i(x) = \varphi_{ci}.$$

We assume zero initial conditions

$$y_i(0) = 0, \frac{dy_i}{dt}(0) = 0$$

The system (1) was obtained by generalizations of the well-known Goodwin model for one region [2].

Miki *et al* [3] proposed the fixed delays form of Goodwin's business cycles interaction for two regions. The model [3] contains two first order neutral delay differential equations

$$\varepsilon_{1} \frac{dy_{d1}(t)}{dt} + s_{1} y_{d1}(t) - A_{1}(t) = F_{1}(t-\theta),$$

$$\varepsilon_{2} \frac{dy_{d2}(t)}{dt} + s_{2} y_{d2}(t) - A_{2}(t) = F_{2}(t-\theta),$$
(2)

where subscript d means delayed. We assume zero initial functions

$$y_i(t) = 0, \ \theta \le t \le 0$$
.

It is easy to verify that equations (1) and (2) can be written as the following system of integro-differential equations

$$\varepsilon_{1} \frac{dy_{1}(t)}{dt} + s_{1}y_{1}(t) = I_{1}(t) - m_{1}Y_{1}(t) + m_{2}Y_{2}(t) + A_{1}(t),$$

$$\varepsilon_{2} \frac{dy_{2}(t)}{dt} + s_{2}y_{2}(t) = I_{2}(t) + m_{1}Y_{1}(t) - m_{2}Y_{2}(t) + A_{2}(t),$$

$$Y_{1}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} w(t - s, \theta)y_{1}(s)ds, \quad Y_{2}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} w(t - s, \theta)y_{2}(s)ds,$$

$$I_{1}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} w(t - s, \theta)\varphi_{1}\left(\frac{dy_{1}(s)}{dt}\right)ds,$$

$$I_{2}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} w(t - s, \theta)\varphi_{2}\left(\frac{dy_{2}(s)}{dt}\right)ds,$$
(3)

where  $w(t, \theta)$  is the delay kernel satisfying

$$\int_{\infty}^{t} w(t-s,\theta) ds = \int_{0}^{\infty} w(s,\theta) ds = 1$$

For Eq. (1)

$$w(t,\theta) = \theta^{-1} e^{-\frac{t}{\theta}}, \qquad (4)$$

and for Eq. (2)

$$w(t,\theta) = \delta(t-\theta), \qquad (5)$$

where  $\delta(t - \theta)$  is the Dirac delta function.

The most important characteristics of  $w(t, \theta)$  are the average delay time  $T_d$ , its variance  $\sigma_d^2$  and coefficient of variation  $V_d$ 

$$T_d = \int_0^\infty sw(s,\theta) ds, \ \sigma_d^2 = \int_0^\infty (s-T_d)^2 w(s,\theta) ds, \ V_d = \frac{\sqrt{\sigma_d^2}}{T_d}.$$

For delay kernel (4)  $T_d = \theta$ ,  $\sigma_d = \theta$  and  $V_d = 1$ , and for kernel (5)  $T_d = \theta$ ,  $\sigma_d = 0$  and  $V_d = 0$ .

The distributions (4) and (5) have significantly different coefficients of variation (1 and 0, respectively). For modeling the more real case

$$0 < V_d < 1$$

can be used the gamma distribution,

$$w_k(s,\theta) = \frac{e^{-\frac{ks}{\theta}}}{\theta(k-1)!} \left(\frac{ks}{\theta}\right)^k, \ k = 1, 2, \dots$$
(6)

For gamma distribution

$$T_d = \frac{(k+1)}{k}\theta, \ \sigma_d^2 = \frac{(k+1)}{k^2}\theta^2, \ V_d = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k+1}}.$$

To simulate the time behavior of income for a single Goodwin equation, this distribution was used in [4, 5]. If  $k \to \infty$ , then Gamma distributions tends to  $\delta(s-\theta)$  and Eq. (3) reduces to Eq. (2).

It can be shown that Eqs. (3) are equivalent to the system of ODE's. To see this, we consider such an integral

$$J(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} w_k (t - s, \theta) f(s) ds$$
<sup>(7)</sup>

We assume that for s < 0 f(s) = 0 and apply the Laplace transform to Eq. (7). According to the convolution theorem

$$f(p) = f(p) \mathcal{W}(p) \tag{8}$$

Since the Laplace transform of the function  $w_k(s,\theta)$  is given by

$$W \in (p) = \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{\theta}{k} p\right)^{k+1}},$$

then from Eq. (8) we find

$$\left(1+\frac{\theta}{k}p\right)^{k+1} \mathcal{F}(p) = \mathcal{F}(p).$$
<sup>(9)</sup>

This means that the following differential equation holds

$$\left(1 + \frac{\theta}{k}\frac{d}{dt}\right)^{k+1}J(t) = f(t).$$
<sup>(10)</sup>

Therefore Eqs. (3) are equivalent to the system of 4k+6 ODE's

$$\begin{split} &\varepsilon_{1} \frac{dy_{1}(t)}{dt} + s_{1}y_{1}(t) = I_{1}\left(t\right) - m_{1}Y_{1}(t) + m_{2}Y_{2}(t) + A_{1}(t), \\ &\varepsilon_{2} \frac{dy_{2}(t)}{dt} + s_{2}y_{2}(t) = I_{2}\left(t\right) + m_{1}Y_{1}(t) - m_{2}Y_{2}(t) + A_{2}(t), \\ &LY_{1}(t) = y_{1}(t), \qquad LY_{2}(t) = y_{2}(t), \\ &LI_{1}(t) = \varphi_{1}\left(\frac{dy_{1}(t)}{dt}\right), \qquad LI_{2}(t) = \varphi_{2}\left(\frac{dy_{2}(t)}{dt}\right), \end{split}$$

where

$$L = \left(1 + \frac{\theta}{k}\frac{d}{dt}\right)^{k+1}$$

## Conclusions

To improve the modeling of the time behavior of the income for two linearly coupled Goodwin equations, it is proposed to use a continuous delay model with the kernel in form of a gamma distribution (6). A new system of differential equations is obtained.

## References

1. T. Puu, Complex dynamics in continuous models of the business cycle, Lecture Notes in Economic and Mathematical Systems, vol. 293 (Springer-Verlag, 1987), pp. 227–259.

2. R.M. Goodwin, Econometrica 19, 1-17 (1951).

3. H. Miki, H. Nishino and K. Tchizawa (2012). On the possible occurrence of duck solutions in domestic and two-region business cycle models, Nonlinear Studies 19, 39-55.

4. A.O. Antonova, S. N. Reznik, and M. D. Todorov. Distributed Time Delay Goodwin's Models of the Business Cycle. In AMiTaNS'11, AIP Conference Proceedings 1404, edited by M. D. Todorov (American Institute of Physics, Melville, NY, 2011) 333-339.

5. A.O. Antonova, S. N. Reznik, and M. D. Todorov. Comparative analysis of Goodwin's business cycle models. In AMiTaNS'16, AIP Conference Proceedings 1773, edited by M. D. Todorov (American Institute of Physics, Melville, NY, 2016), paper 060002, 8p.