HOW MIGHT ENTREPRENEURIAL ACTIVITIES AFFECT BEHAVIOUR AND EMOTIONS?
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Abstract

It’s essential to comprehend individuals’ comprehension of what occurs in their brains. Given a writing survey of business enterprise brain science and conduct, and a particular featuring of the subarea’s identity, understanding, feeling, mentality, and self, this article exhibits an audit of this present field’s past and current issues points, speculations, and techniques. In the mix with review results from enterprise conduct scientists’ ebb and flow work and sentiments on the exploration boondocks in those angles, this article gives bits of knowledge and proposals to future research headings.
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1. Introduction

There is a massive proliferation of research examining the entrepreneurial process through the psychology lens. For a healthy development of entrepreneurship psychology research, it is essential with overviews and field reflections for advancing the field further to identify unanswered questions and areas of further attention (e.g. [1]). There is, however, a scarcity of such reviews.

Since the mid-2000s, scholarly interest has continued to examine previously explored concepts, but a greater focus emerged on entrepreneurial scripts and cognition’s impact on evaluating opportunities. In addition, the self-efficacy concept also gained more attention [2]. For example, self-efficacy was examined to distinguish between entrepreneurs and non-entrepreneurs, between genders across countries, and determine the success and growth of entrepreneurs (e.g. [3-5]).

The cognitive approach focuses on several areas: self-efficacy, scripts, heuristics, counterfactual thinking, and planning fallacy (e.g. [6-10]). Thus, to some extent, cognition research approaches questions that personality research previously failed to answer.

2. Judgment about the physiological state

If you cannot register and interpret your bodily reactions and emotions when you have reached your limit, you will ultimately fail in what you are doing. Therefore, you will have an unsuccessful experience. This is why we see a great extent of very clever and highly motivated entrepreneurs who “burn out.” The relation between bodily reactions, emotions, and feelings of success is thus very close. But, unfortunately, there is some taboo circumventing the verbalization of emotions in edifying environments, making it very arduous to transmute this situation [11-15].

Consequently, to facilitate entrepreneurial comportment, we require to promote specific behavioral patterns. Fig. 1 shows the interrelationship between the four sources of self-efficacy and the process of transforming behavioral patterns. The conception is that each of the sources can design a curriculum and practical edifying methods. This will naturally be different depending on the age and the stage of education, which will be shown in a later section.
3. Measuring self-efficacy in psychology

There are sundry approaches to quantifying self-efficacy. Generally, they fall into three different groups [17]: task-concrete measures (Bandura’s method), domain-concrete measures (e.g., health, political, entrepreneurial), and general measures.

3.1. ESE: Entrepreneurial Self-Efficacy

Two desires have driven the exchange of mental development by and large and more particularly that of self-viability into the business enterprise writing. To begin with, there is our general desire as business researchers to create more business visionaries, as we firmly have confidence in their positive monetary impact, a satisfying way of life, and an attractive life choice. (it’s just plain obvious, e.g., [1]).

3.2. Somatic markers and self-efficacy

The theory of somatic markers is regarded with associating emotions with events [18]. Hence, the first time a person meets specific feedback, she/he will use this to refer back the next time similar or identical feedback is experienced.

Damasio is, however, not adequately precise in describing how this process takes place and how it becomes internalized. Every time we meet something or someone, this meeting builds on previous experiences with something or someone simply because we cannot continue making new impressions. It is a way to create a continuous and “normal” picture of others, against which we perceive new images of them.

Further, the deeper the RIGs are built in our culture, the more difficult it is to change them. Thus, the Jante Law can best be described as a universal national RIG (see Box 1 [19-22].

Since patterns of deportment are built up over long periods, they cannot be broken just in one go. It is indispensable to engender a trustful edifying environment that provides perpetual experiences of prosperity. Thus, just one prosperous experience may not be adequate to transmute an internalized experience. In this way, instructing necessities to incorporate methods for urging potential business visionaries might be the desire and impression of how troublesome it may be that is the most noticeably bad part. It tends to be compared to bouncing from the 10-m plunging board; it exits toward the edge that is the most exceedingly terrible part.

A high gauge of self-efficacy is accomplished through repeated execution achievements and the surmounting of deterrents through exertion and determination [24]. It causes the belief in one’s capacities to prepare the inspiration, personal assets, and game-plans expected to practice power over occasions in a single’s life [24]. As
will be appeared, each of these works in the individual–condition nexus. The inquiry is then how and how conditions an individual can develop and the personnel to use them well.

3.3. The history of entrepreneurial self-efficacy research

Keeping in mind the end goal to portray the developing effect of self-efficacy in business enterprise inquiry, we propose thinking back to 1989. Then, Bandura (1977a) distributed his fundamental work on self-viability with regards to human office [11], and Gist (1987) acquainted self-efficacy with the administration writing with a dialog of suggestions for hierarchical conduct and human asset administration [25].

For the next decade, entrepreneurship scholars developed the concept of entrepreneurial self-efficacy. It moved gradually from the mental corner of vocation decision examine where it had additionally been ignored as a feasible professional alternative [26], using goals examination into the focal point of the business field. While thinks about after 1998, for the most part, utilized the term entrepreneurial self-efficacy, a somewhat expansive assortment of terms used something like this point. Boyd and Vozikis (1994) are models of a vital advancement step [26]: expanding upon crafted by Scherer et al. (1989, 2005), in this way binds their examination to the vocation related self-viability discourse [27-28]. In any case, they at last wind up naming their particular scale “entrepreneurial self-efficacy” or ESE. The idea was then advanced in the enterprise dialog by Krueger and Brazeal (1994). They characterized it as a quality of individual ability and control, which helps convert apparent disappointments into learning encounters [29]. Krueger and Brazeal utilized the expressions “saw adventure achievability” and “saw adventure self-efficacy” Thus, they did the particular errand adjustment of self-viability to the entrepreneurial area, opening up a productive discourse on the significant entrepreneurial features that should have been incorporated into legitimate estimation scales for entrepreneurial self-efficacy [29]. Shane and Venkataraman (operation. Cit., pp. 222–224); (for more information see [68]), specified intellectual properties as a critical field of concentrate in setting with the disclosure of chances, guiding expressly toward the benefit of joining entrepreneurial self-viability in business enterprise inquire about [30].

Since 1998, the quantity of articles on entrepreneurial self-viability has been continually developing. Particularly regarding preparing programs, entrepreneurial self-efficacy was utilized to check the program’s viability (e.g., [31-32]). An essential talk point was how adequacy rose as an imperative instrument to conquer the impression of hazard. Henceforth, the system fitted well into the wandering procedure (e.g., [26-34]), which additionally prompted investigations endeavoring to clarify sexual orientation contrasts in entrepreneurial movement (e.g., [32, 35-39]).

Since 2004, look into has started to adopt a more nuanced strategy, surrendering suspicions of direct connections, examining directing and interceding impacts, and interested all the more firmly about predecessors of entrepreneurial self-efficacy (e.g., [38-42]).

4. Entrepreneurial cognitions

Entrepreneurial cognizance has attracted tremendous research enthusiasm in recent years (e.g., [43-48]). So also, the investigation of psychological inclinations has gotten plentiful consideration in the business examination. In any case, just a couple of researches have researched the constructive outcomes which psychological predispositions, for example, arrogance, can have in the entrepreneurial procedure ([49-50]) or for CEOs ([51-52]).

Notwithstanding subjective predispositions, other psychological wonders have been concentrated, for example, counterfactual reasoning [53-54]. Counterfactual contemplations are reflections upon “what may have been” if the individual had acted contrasting or if the conditions encompassing an occasion or activity had been extraordinary. Counterfactual reasoning of businesspeople has, for the most part, been recommended to affect the entrepreneurial procedure positively.

Generally speaking, the business visionaries’ intellectual maps or contents or schemata have all the earmarks of being to some degree not quite the same as the ones of administrators [55-57]. Even though comprehension specialists have theoretically concurred that business visionaries think unexpectedly [8, 54, 58-60], to date, there has been no experimental investigation that analyzes the psychological maps of business people and their effect on the entrepreneurial procedure [54].

Social insight hypothesis speaks to a way to deal with the investigation of human perception and data handling that expect that the inspirations, feelings, and different characteristics of the individual affect cognizance and, in this way, how the individual translates the social world [61-63]. Inside this hypothesis, it is central to comprehend psychological handling and results and the objectives, feelings, and inspirations of the individual on-screen character inside the setting of the circumstance. As this hypothesis consolidates a few factors that were appeared to be essential in the entrepreneurial procedure, it may demonstrate productivity to keep applying it in future research.

4.1. Entrepreneurship that is more cognitively hot entrepreneurial cognition and emotion’s impact on these cognitive processes

Since a long time ago, specialists have trusted that people’s psychological capacities assume an essential job in driving entrepreneurial activity (for surveys, see [64-65]). More specifically, scholars hold that people are better able to navigate the entrepreneurial process (i.e., recognize, evaluate, and act on opportunities) when they have substantial knowledge [66-68], have access to information, can quickly make decisions [38, 69], and are cognitively flexible [70-72]. At the same time, negative emotions tend to hinder it [73-74]. Be that as it may, even with this past work, significant open doors stay in the field of business to
examine the connection between feeling and comprehension.\(^1\)

Fig. 2. A sketch of more activity-based research as a micro-foundation of entrepreneurial action. 
Source: This figure is from Shepherd (2015), [75].

4.2. Model on Emotions and Cognitions in the Pre-Entrepreneurial Process

Unlike our past model in this paper, the refreshed model that appeared in Fig. 3 is more non-exclusive with the plan to cover more investigations and give more conceivable outcomes to future research. We trust that this expansion is critical to push forward research on the expectation activity hole since we know about the subjective and passionate procedures that occur from potential to incipient business visionary.

Second, we need to note that our present model not just contains the bidirectional connection among feelings and perception yet, in addition, the bidirectional relationship among feelings and cognizance and the diverse periods of the pre-entrepreneurial process. Emotions and discernments impact the entrepreneurial procedure. However, entrepreneurial practices additionally impact feelings and comprehensions, for example, the experience of energy, joy, and stream [76-78]. Moreover, growing new items and building new business systems were appeared to incite positive effects [79]. Thus, an ongoing report by Frese and Gielnik (2011) recommends that entrepreneurial activity prompts enthusiasm as opposed to energy prompting activity [72, 80].

Fig. 3. An updated model on the role of emotions and cognitions in the pre-entrepreneurial process

\(^1\) Notice: for better understanding please see fig. (2): A sketch of research that is more activity based as a micro-foundation of entrepreneurial action.
5. Opportunity Recognition

Gagliolo and Katz (2001) and Gagliolo (2004) propose that because counterfactual reasoning includes deconstructing and remaking situations, counterfactual manners of thinking may result in the ID of generally unexpected changes [54, 81]. In addition, an ongoing report by Arora and associates (2013) recommends that individuals encountering positive influence connect more in counterfactual reasoning [53]. In this manner, we may likewise contend that positive also affects opportunity acknowledgment through expanded counterfactual reasoning [82-87].

Nevertheless, we do not know yet how discrete positive or negative emotions may affect opportunity recognition. This meta-analysis revealed that the positive effect on the creativity of positive emotion is enormously significant in contrast to neutral emotional states. Still, the effect shrinks when weighed against negative emotional states. Therefore, future entrepreneurship studies should look at positive or negative emotions or contrast them but choose discrete emotional states and compare them with neutral emotions [88-89].

This discussion about positive versus negative affect brings us back to the topic of mixed emotions and emotional ambivalence and their potential effect on opportunity recognition. Podoymitsyna et al. (2012) contend that indecision may build opportunity acknowledgment [90]; however, blended feelings may trigger open-door refusal. Feeling sincerely conflicted exhibits an expanded affectability to affiliations and inventiveness [91-92], while people encountering blended feelings indicate expanded hazard recognition.

In the end, an ongoing report by Doern and Goss (2013) researched social mollification related to repetitive power customs among business visionaries and state authorities [93]. Correspondingly, it might likewise be energizing to catch the impacts of positive social feelings, for example, pride for circumstance acknowledgment and the accompanying procedure promoting entrepreneurial activity.

6. Emotion and Entrepreneurial Cognition

Entrepreneurship is an exceedingly passionate undertaking; it has regularly been depicted as an “Emotional Rollercoaster” with various good and bad times that affect business people’s emotional encounters. For instance, business visionaries may encounter energy, happiness, fulfillment, stream, eagerness, and enthusiasm from work, yet in addition intense dissatisfaction, trouble, stress, outrage, and hopelessness [72-74, 78, 94-99]. Brain research writing has since quite a while ago recognized that feelings could affect how individuals think and choose. For instance, Affect-as-Information Theory [100] states that people ask themselves (verifiably) how they feel about a specific circumstance and, in light of this data segment, decide. Likewise, the Broaden-and-Build Theory [101] expects that positive feelings impact cognizance by expanding people’s idea activity collections. Then again, it is additionally all around archived that individuals can utilize their intellectual assets to impact emotion encounters [102-103]. We will now investigate the relationship between feelings and cognizance in the enterprise.

7. Positive Emotions and Entrepreneurial Cognition

People create enthusiasm for their work when they esteem their work immensely, such as performing business-related exercises and do as frequently [104], driving them to consolidate position into their personality. For instance, Steve Jobs and Bill Gates were not just the authors and previous CEOs of Microsoft and Apple. Or maybe, the organizations they established likewise in part characterized their identity as individuals, and their work exercises wound up significant aspects of their personalities. Notwithstanding, administrators shift as far as the amount they consolidate work exercises into their character [72, 105], which results in either agreeable energy or over-the-top enthusiasm. While the pleasant and over-the-top point is related to a certain extent, both are not the contrary finishes of a continuum [104].

8. Harmonious Passion and Entrepreneurs’

8.1. Opportunity Exploitation

Agreeable enthusiasm is an independent disguise of a movement in one’s personality that makes the individual choose to seek after that action [104]. Accordingly, individuals encountering agreeable business-related energy promptly and self-governing attempt business-related exercises. For example, when these business people conceptualize new thoughts with advancement colleagues, get the assets expected to transform the subsequent ideas into items, and make item improvement spending plans, they participate in these exercises with no (or just insignificant) commitments connected. Such business people’s inspiration does not originate from their association’s objective to achieve particular yields, from social weight at work, or from the need to encourage the family. What’s more, while work assumes a vital job in advancing these people’s way of life as an entrepreneurial director, this does not imply that work essentially rules different parts of their lives. Or maybe, these business people can adjust diverse components in their lives while making their character. For example, an agreeably energetic business visionary may fuse jobs as a relative, golfer, and guitar player into their general nature.

Via self-governing disguising work into their personalities, amicably energetic business people can adaptably perform work exercises and trust that they have authority over their entrepreneurial undertakings. These sentiments of adaptability and control make such business people encounter constructive feelings. They are consumed by their work and experience stream [104]. For instance, some corporate business visionaries have announced
putting their whole heart into their work [74]. When business people have constructive full of feeling encounters, they will probably seek after new open doors they distinguish.

Also, agreeably energetic business people tend to utilize heuristics less however connect more in logical methodologies since constructive emotion encounters improve psychological adaptability by empowering business visionaries to expand on or interface subjective structures in a novel way [46, 106]. For example, a cheerful full of feeling state demonstrates that the chief can utilize mental assets to widen their idea activity collection [101, 107]. Hence, amicably emotional businesspeople encountering constructive feelings will more probably find non-clear choices to evade challenges related to misusing new chances in this manner, showing firsthand the innovativeness hidden fruitful advancement forms (cf. [108]).

Also, due to their positive emotional state at work, amicably energetic business visionaries will probably accept fewer dangers related to abusing another chance. At the point when people encounter a constructive outcome, they will likely trust they have command over ecological impacts [109], in this way affecting the level of hazard and result in vulnerability these people see, the two of which can be critical obstructions to new open-door abuse [110, 111]. Business people who see they are responsible for the vulnerabilities of circumstance misuse will probably follow up on a novel chance [111]. This affiliation holds even though the business visionary may have insufficient data about the setting they work in [112]. In general, agreeably energetic business people will likewise spend less vitality assembling and investigating data. They will probably follow up on circumstances than less emotional business visionaries who feel they have restricted authority over their specific situation.

8.2. Opportunity Evaluation

Scholars have been interested in individual differences in opportunity evaluation from different perspectives (e.g., [113-117]). However, only a few researches have investigated the role of emotions in the cognitive process of opportunity evaluation [118-120]; none of the examinations conceptualized opportunity assessment as comprising of appealing quality and possibility convictions as proposed by surviving writing; however, each of the three papers connected trial approaches in their investigations, subsequently having the capacity to identify causal impacts.

The paper by Foo (2011) presents two studies [118]. In the primary investigation, he actuated resentment, dread, bliss, and trust and demonstrated an alternate effect on understudies’ hazard view of entrepreneurial endeavor situations. In the second investigation, he found a positive connection between dispositional satisfaction and outrage and business visionaries’ hazard-taking affinity. Members’ scores on chance observation for an endeavor situation were fundamentally lower for outrage and bliss-initiated members (feelings related to result from invulnerability and an absence of result control) than for fear- and hope-induced participants (emotions associated with outcome uncertainty and a lack of outcome control). These findings confirm the study results of Lerner and Keltner [121-123].

To push experimental research, we recommend conceptualizing some entrepreneurial open doors as first-individual convictions of appealing quality and achievability and applying mental speculations tending to the impacts of feelings and judgments. These conceptualizations may help measure emotions’ effects on opportunity evaluation which is still described as the “black box” between opportunity recognition and exploitation.

9. Methodology

Initially, entrepreneurial essential leadership and feelings look into has investigated how singular encounters (e.g., entrepreneurial experience [124] and disappointment encounter [125]) and attributes (e.g., entrepreneurial self-viability [126] and feelings [127, 128]) affect business visionaries’ choice arrangements. By accentuating the dynamic idea of entrepreneurial settings and essential entrepreneurial leadership, we plan to open up new research roads that recognize how the encounters and qualities of people, and also their endeavors and outside settings (e.g., ventures, advances), change after some time, which likely substantially affects how business visionaries decide. Such a dynamic viewpoint tends to call for more research on the connection between the business visionary and the (changing) social setting in improving entrepreneurial chances and adventures [75].

Second, inquire about essential leadership in the enterprise has regularly centered around choice signals in light of built-up hypothetical ideas (e.g., qualities of endeavor assets [128] or sorts of ecological vulnerability [129]) and known players of the entrepreneurial procedure (e.g., business people, financial speculators, and brokers). In any case, with rapidly changing advancements in a worldwide world, new wonders not all around caught by existing theoretical ideas (e.g., crowdfunding) and new players (e.g., swarm financial specialists) assume an inexorably imperative job in business. Finally, we talk about a few different ways future investigations can propel business hypotheses by investigating the effect of new marvels on essential entrepreneurial leadership.

Finally, a considerable part of decision-making and behavioral research in entrepreneurship has been based on experimental methodology, specifically conjoint analysis ([130]; for a review, see [131]), which creates hierarchically nested data, namely, multiple decisions made by the same individual. Even though the settled nature in these examinations has been abused in existing exploration to some degree, we recommend various novel ways that staggered investigations can additionally
improve our comprehension of essential entrepreneurial leadership.

10. Content Analysis

Even though there is a large group of research on entrepreneurial essential leadership and feelings and impact on conduct (for an audit, see [74]), we offered different approaches to take advantage of an obscure area in this paper. First, we talked about various ways to investigate diverse sorts of entrepreneurial choices and inclinations and heuristics in these choices. To seek after a portion of these exploration openings and discover others that develop our comprehension of essential entrepreneurial leadership will probably require us, as researchers, to be more entrepreneurial in our strategies. For instance, we expect future studies will begin to more frequently mixed surveys with experiments, experiments via secondary data, inductive content analysis of secondary data to make panel datasets, and so on. Not only do we hope scholars are entrepreneurial in utilizing methods to generate new insights, but we also hope that reviewers and editors are “open” to this sort of novelty because this is where (we believe) the most extraordinary future contributions will come on the entrepreneurial decision-making topic. These commitments won’t just be to the enterprise and administration grow yet will be more extensive. Since the setting in which entrepreneurial choices are made is so extraordinary in various ways (e.g., high results, emotion expectation and responses, time weights, and uncertainty), this gives us the chance to broaden the limits of current hypotheses of essential leadership and subsequently make a more broad commitment to the brain science and social, financial matters written works. Specifically, conjoint investigation enables business enterprise specialists to investigate and observationally test staggered essential leadership contemplates.

Second, we trust we have opened up invigorating pathways for future research by conceptualizing essential leadership in entrepreneurial errands utilizing a progressive staggered structure. Regardless of whether studies use conjoint exploration to explore decision-making or use another method to analyze a different topic, multi-level analyses can make substantial contributions to the entrepreneurial field.

11. Conclusions

Our review suggests several areas for future studies. First, more explanatory factors and more outcome variables should be examined empirically. The study shows that research in this area is characterized by being highly conceptual with limited empirical evidence. It is time to further this area of research by conducting more practical studies.

In conclusion, we are convinced that while prior researches have created a substantial body of knowledge on entrepreneurial decision-making and behavioral research in entrepreneurship, these studies have only paved the ground for more work on this critical subject. We hope that this paper inspires scholars to advance this essential stream of literature in the multiple ways described here and beyond.

Much advancement has been made to look into the mental point of view over the previous years that have improved our comprehension of different authoritative wonders. However, numerous holes about the underpinnings connecting brain science to administration remain. This survey has efficiently given a synopsis of what has been accomplished around research and has offered various bearings to take the field forward. We hope that this work may inspire additional research in this area to further our understanding of management.

In this paper, we have explored the influence of emotions across different stages and tasks of the entrepreneurial process. We illustrate that emotions play a crucial role in understanding entrepreneurs’ opportunity exploitation decisions. Further, we also explored that supervisor-managers’ emotional displays can impact the motivation of employees to engage in entrepreneurial action. Especially when entrepreneurial undertakings inside associations fall flat, representatives frequently encounter generous negative feelings, which lessen inspiration and gaining from the disappointment encounter. However, we also illustrate that these effects are contingent on the organizational environment normalizing failure and individuals’ coping orientations, self-efficacy, and self-compassion.

Psychologists like Bandura have long argued that there is an interaction between contextual factors and self-efficacy. Self-efficacy can thus only be illustrated if the contextual constraints allow this expression. Nevertheless, there has been a void in the study and theory development on the relevant context conditions in entrepreneurship research. This research has hopefully helped kick off this discussion. However, what is presented constitutes only a few ideas. Better theoretical conceptualizations of the contextual/environmental variables that interact to illustrate self-efficacy are needed. Further, such research might assist us in establishing why differences in entrepreneurial startups exist across nations. If underlying national cultural conditions impact, a change process may take a long time before it affects.

While early surveys viably featured the open door for new research in the enterprise, researchers have as of late started indicating the components through which feelings and comprehensions can affect entrepreneurial practices. These systems don’t appear to a great extent to vary among entrepreneurial and other human methods. Most feeling and discernment hypotheses connected in business enterprise originate from the field of brain research. This paper introduced late speculations of feelings and perceptions related to business enterprise and condensed experimental discoveries on feelings and discernment in the pre-entrepreneurial process. We trust that this paper gives a promising beginning stage toward comprehending
the intellectual and full of emotional impacts of feelings on various periods of the pre-entrepreneurial process.

Even though business enterprise researchers have perceived the significance of contemplating the emotional and psychological impacts in business enterprise, we do not have a comprehensive picture over the numerous mixed examinations despite everything. While nitty-gritty examinations are vital for testing particular connections, it might likewise be imperative to join surviving discoveries to get a handle on a more far-reaching comprehension of the pre-entrepreneurial process and the entrepreneurial wonder as a rule. Thus, it might be a troublesome, however, primary assignment to build up a comprehensive point of view that is experimentally solid and hypothetically important to propel future research in business.

Notice 1:
1. This notion of excitement is also in line with how we induced excitement utilizing visual stimuli in this study’s experimental analysis. Although there are likely to be differences in how excited entrepreneurs become when viewing excitement-inducing pictures, a robust research stream has validated that such images induce excitement in observers.
2. These orientations are independent of each other such that a person can concentrate on one direction but not the other or be high or low in both orientations. Additionally, high in both orientations can be increased or low in an oscillation orientation. The approaches that follow demonstrate the independence of these orientations.

Notice 2:
Results of the present paper are significantly connected with the Ph.D. dissertation of Mohammad Heydari, which was written at the Nanjing University of Science and Technology entitled: “A Cognitive Basis Perceived Corruption and Attitudes Towards Entrepreneurial Intention.” Supervisor: Professor Zhou Xiaohu, School of Economics and Management, Nanjing University of Science and Technology, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China. For more information about this dissertation, you can contact [Mohammad_Heydari@njust.edu.cn] and [njustzxh@njust.edu.cn]. There are some questions contained in this paper, which symbolize the purpose of further research. Also, it is necessary to mention that this paper is the result of the ten years of research in different countries on “Human and Organizational Behavior”.
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